

The Renaissance Society

Tuesday - Friday: 10 am - 5 pm Saturday, Sunday: 12-5pm Closed Mondays http://www.renaissancesocietv.org

Julie Moos: Monsanto

September 22-November 3, 2002

Opening reception: Sunday, September 22, 2002, 4:00 - 7:00 pm featuring a talk with the aritst at 5:00pm in Cobb Hall room 307.

Corporate America

How am I to speak of you as 'tenant' 'farmers,' ntegers in a criminal economy, or as individuals, fathers, wives, sons, daughters, and as my friends as I 'know' you? Granted-more, insisted upon—that it is in all these particularities that exactly themselves beyond designation of dent, seems traitorous in the deepest; and to do less badly seems impossible: yet in withholdings of specification I could but betray you still

three sharecropping families. Fortune declined to simplicity that speaks the most. publish the article which one editor characterized as The Monsanto series is not narrative but iconic. But Moos's Monsanto series, like the Agee/Evans

The Contemporary Art Museum, St. Louis.

cation. But Moos's practice goes further than burden no singular image could possibly bear. indulging our desire to simply look at people, con- But then again, Moos's work is operating as suming them through their comportment. Her work counter-myth. Invoking the name Monsanto is enough allows us to compare and contrast individuals to dispell any suggestion that these farmers might through a formalism that, to use Allan Sekula's words, have anything to do with those depicted in Let Us "neutralizes and renders equivalent" its subjects Now Praise Famous Men. The farms which serve as whose relationship is otherwise made clear in the backdrop in her photos are many thousands series title whether it is the fierce competitiveness of of acres and the crops are the product of a multithe Hat Ladies (2001), the stark binary relationships national corporation that is a leading producer of that define adolescence as in Friends and Enemies bio-engineered seeds whose yield although greater (2000), or simply that of employer/employee as in and impervious to pests is questionable as it relates

In 1936, a young James Agee was assigned to write Moos applies this same device in *Monsanto*. But sent the agri-industry; they are the New New Deal. an article whose subject was the sharecropper's life in unlike her previous bodies of work in which the sitters. The images, however, are not simply counter-myth in the Depression South. It was to appear in Fortune were captured under clinical, studio conditions before relation to Evans's sharecroppers. They radically magazine. At Agee's suggestion, the article would be a gray backdrop, Moos has moved her camera out- revise another deep seated stereotype. With nary an illustrated with photographs by his friend Walker doors, placing each pair of farm owners in the center office tower in sight, not to mention suit and tie, Evans whom Fortune had used before and who had of a horizontal frame containing a generous portion of Moos's subjects give a new face to Corporate become aquatinted with the region through his work heartland prairie. The images are striking in their rich- America. for the Farm Security Administration. Agee and Evans ness of detail, lushness of color and last but not least, Moos's Monsanto series comes at a time of spent part of July and August in Hale County, their scale (6 feet by 8 feet) that qualifies them as both renewed patriotic fervor and anti-corporate senti-Alabama documenting with conviction the lives of portraiture and landscape photography. But it is their ment. Her images of farm owners, however, deny us

"pessimistic, unconstructive, impractical, indignant, The decision to traffic in myth rather than photojour- collaboration, is not an indictment. Despite structural lyrical and always personal." Remaining deeply trou- nalism was determined less by Moos and more by a shifts in the economy allowing the new myth of corbled yet inspired by what he saw, Agee developed the subject that when it comes to representation within porate culture to supplant an old myth of a nativist article into a book-length account published five the fine arts can only be engaged at the level of myth. roots culture, both myths still abide by a national naryears later as Let Us Now Praise Famous Men. Although Moos's recent body of work partakes of rative built around a sense of ourselves as pioneers Part prose poem, part ethnography, and part myth, the Monsanto series is counter-myth whose and settlers. Even under corporate aegis, our belief reportage, Let Us Now Praise Famous Men has since status as such relies on a discourse established for better and/or worse remains that we are children become a seminal literary and photographic docu- through a project such as Let Us Now Praise Famous of the corn (and soy) indeed. ment forming our most immediate impressions of the Men. Unlike Evans, who thoroughly documented Great Depression. It yielded some of Evans's most extended families, their homes, possessions and land the Bergman Gallery, is dedicated to the memory of Edwin stark yet intimate work from that period including the well known portrait of Allie Mae Burroughs. But are removed from their domestic environment and any despite its historical, geographic and biographical suggestion of family is conjured through a discreet specificity, Let Us Now Praise Famous Men is some-unit of two and not through a sprawl of relations. But thing more than the portrait of an era. So strong are its more important, Moos was not accompanied by a Foundation; the Illinois Arts Council, a state agency; Lannan Foundation; the Illinois Arts Council, a state agency; the Illinois Arts Council, a state agency; the Illinois Arts Council, a state agency; the images of rural life that they have become part of a writer. Although Agee and Evans conceived of their The LLWW Foundation; The Peter Norton Family Foundation; the Provost's Discretionary Fund; The Pritzker Foundation; The Stragusa Foundation; deeper myth involving the fiber of the nation's charrespective contributions functioning independent of WPWR-TV Channel 50 Foundation and our membership. Indirect support has been received from the Institute of Museum and Library Services, a acter. Evans's photographs of sharecroppers become one another, the interplay that results from reading federal agency offering general operating support to the nationis n less specific and more emblematic of not only har- word and image together is nothing short of what and libraries. rowing poverty but the farmer as the embodiment of Evans's biographer James R. Mellow dubbed a game

American Airlines' an American roots culture, one expressed in those of chicken and egg as each artist exhibits a wholesale investment in the descriptive powers of their medium.

Although Let Us Now Praise Famous Men has By contrast, Moos has restricted the camera's become folkloric, Agee's question and insights remain descriptive capabilities to that of the farm-owners' of utmost importance to the work of Birmingham- personages set within the context of their crop. The based photographer Julie Moos (b. 1966). The Society images are astoundingly mute, stripped of Agee's is pleased to present Monsanto, Moos's most recent "particularities," begging the question of what, in and series of ten, large-scale, color photographs of farm of itself, a photograph can tell us. Foregoing any owners. In August of 2001, Moos photographed pairs attempt to engage her subject through traditional of Northern Missouri/Southern Illinois farm owners - photodocumentary, with its reliance on a combination husband and wife, father and son, a pair of brothers - of sequential imagery and explanatory or descriptive standing amidst their crops. The project was con- text, Moos has summed up her singular, iconic 1. James Agee, Let Us Now Praise Famous Men, (Boston: ceived and instigated by Moos during a residency at images with the title *Monsanto*, naming the series 2. Dwight MacDonald cited in James Mellow, *Walker Evans*, after the chemical corporation headquartered in (New York: Basic Books, 1999) p334-3350

In her last three bodies of work, Moos has devel- St.Louis and whose seeds are used by all of the oped a signature formal device of pairing her sitters farmers she photographed. Moos's decision to title centrally within the frame, arms casually by their this body of work after a corporation whose business sides, their faces relatively expressionless. Moos's practices and products have landed them at the cenresolutely formal portrait practice betrays a confi- ter of an international controversy, with Monsanto's dence in photography's status as an autonomous fine name appearing regularly on the front pages of the art, one capable of strict adherence to visual signifi- newspaper, is a gesture meant to carry a descriptive

to issues of biodiversity. In short, these farmers repre-

refuge in old myths that would serve both purposes.

71 x 92" edition of 5